Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/679,046	DUPUIS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Erin M. File	2634	

All Participants:

(1) *Erin M. File*.

(2) *William Enders*.

Status of Application: *pending*

(3) *Rich Egan*.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *18 January 2006*

Time: *3:30PM*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
44, 46, 47, 54, 56, 57, 62, 63, 64, 70, 72, 76, 78, 81, 86, 88, 91, 94, 95, 101, 106, 114

Prior art documents discussed:
U.S. Patent No. 6,516,024, 6,498,825, 5,999,619.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Attorney agreed to proposed examiners amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)